

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/199,874	11/24/1998	GINO V. SEGRE	00786071005	4165
7:	590 05/21/2002			
PAUL T. CLARK			EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON 225 FRANKLIN STREET BOSTON, MA 021102804			PAK, MICHAEL D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	9 🗴
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/199,874 Office Action Summary

Applicant(s)

Segre et al.

Examiner

Michael Pak

Art Unit 1646



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure - Any re	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the oply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t	ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 25, 2	002
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) 40-71	is/are pending in the application.
4	1a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>41, 44-56, and 58-71</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 40, 42, 43, and 57	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	-
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examine
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)L	□ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:	
	1. U Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
	2. U Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
*S	3. L Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·
a)[¬ _,	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	• •
Attachm	nent(s)	
1) 💢 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 💢 lm	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)26	6) Other:

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group VI, in Paper No. 27 is acknowledged.

Claims 40, 42-43, and 57 belong to group VI which are drawn to method of identifying a compound by binding a human receptor polypeptide with a parathyroid hormone. The examiner had indicated that claims 41 and 52 and 53 were part of Group VI which was made in error. The examiner regrets any inconvenience to the applicant. The SEQ ID NO: 5-13 of claims 41 were derived from rat PTHR. Claims 52 and 53 are drawn to opposum PTHR.

Claims 40-71 are pending and claims 40, 42-43, and 57 are being examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application: 09/199,874

Art Unit: 1646

2. Claims 40, and 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

Claims 40 encompass the term *parathyroid hormone receptor* which is ambiguous because the it is not clear what is the metes and bounds of the term. The term is not defined in the specification and the claim limitations are drawn to structural limitations which requires an initial structure recited in the claim in order to determine the boundaries of the structural limitation. Claims 42 and 43 are dependent on claim 20.

Claims 42 and 43 recite *maturally occurring* which is ambiguous because the it is not clear what is the metes and bounds of the term *maturally occurring*. It is not clear when the receptor is naturally occurring or not and what is the naturally occurring receptor since depending on the definition of the term there may be several naturally occurring forms.

3. Claims 40, 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a written description rejection.

Art Unit: 1646

Claims encompass a polypeptide variant PTH receptor which is naturally occurring but not disclosed in the specification nor to one of skilled in the art. The essential feature of the invention is the method of binding using the SEQ ID NO:21 PTH receptor. Claimed polypeptide variants encompass a large genus of receptors which are alleles or variants whose structure has yet to be identified from different species of animal because the structure of the newly identified naturally occurring receptor is not known. One of skilled in the art cannot envision the sequence which has not been identified. University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co. (CAFC) 43 USPQ2d 1398 held that a generic claim to human or mammalian when only the rat protein sequence was disclosed did not have written description in the specification.

4. Claims 40, 42-43, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the method of using the receptor which comprises the structural domain which binds the PTH, does not enable a method of using a PTH receptor which is six amino acid long to any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Art Unit: 1646

Claims encompass a polypeptide fragments and variants of PTH receptor which is six amino acid long. However, the specification does not teach how to use fragments and variants of PTH receptor which does not bind ligands. G-protein binding receptors have a binding domain comprising the hydrophobic pocket created by all seven of the transmembrane region. A fragment of the G-protein binding receptor which is truncated in the middle of the hydrophobic pocket or a fragment which does not allow the proper folding of the hydrophobic pocket would not be expected to function since the ligand could not interact with the pocket necessary for intracellular signal transduction function. Furthermore, the state of the art is such that one skilled in the art cannot predict the outcome of changes to protein structure using the primary amino acid structure as the predictor. one skilled in the art cannot use the primary amino acid sequence of the PTH receptor alone to predict the tertiary structure of the polypeptide which would be required to determine ligand binding function, and proper folding of PTH receptor polypeptide variant with large number of amino acid substitutions. working example nor guidance are provided to determine whether a change in the hydrophobic ligand binding pocket for PTH polypeptide fragment or variant could bind a ligand. example or guidance is provided to use polypeptide without binding function. Thus, such fragments encompass a genus with a

Art Unit: 1646

large number of species which are not functional. In view of the extent and the unpredictability of the experimentation required to practice the invention as claimed, one skilled in the art could not make the invention without undue experimentation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 40, 42-43 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lindall et al. (US 4,508,828).

Lindall et al. teach the method of identifying a compound which competes with parathyroid hormone and wherein the compound is the peptides PTH analogues (columns 1,2, 9-12). The PTH receptor in human kidney cortical cell culture of Lindall meets the claim limitations because the human PTH receptor in the human cell inherently comprises the part of the amino acid sequences of SEQ ID NO:21 and is processed for loss of N-terminus methionine.

Application: 09/199,874

Art Unit: 1646

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pak, whose telephone number is (703) 305-7038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Michael D. Pak

Primary Patent Examiner

Hichael D. PAK

Art Unit 1646

14 May 2002